IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA ELKINS

RAYMON I. DOBBINS,

Petitioner.

V.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:14-CV-49 (BAILEY)

RUSSELL A. PERDUE,

Respondent.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On this day, the above-styled matter came before this Court for consideration of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Michael John Aloi [Doc. 12]. Pursuant to this Court's Local Rules, this action was referred to Magistrate Judge Aloi for submission of a proposed report and recommendation ("R&R"). Magistrate Judge Aloi filed his R&R on November 28, 2016, wherein he recommends this Court dismiss the petitioner's § 2241 petition as moot.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(c), this Court is required to make a *de novo* review of those portions of the magistrate judge's findings to which objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). In addition, failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo* review and the right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *Snyder v. Ridenour*, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91,

1

94 (4th Cir. 1984). Here, objections to Magistrate Judge Aloi's R&R were due within

fourteen (14) days of service, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

The docket reflects that service was accepted on November 30, 2016. [Doc. 13]. To date,

no objections have been filed. Accordingly, this Court will review the R&R for clear error.

Upon careful review of the above, it is the opinion of this Court that the **Report and**

Recommendation [Doc. 12] should be, and is, hereby ORDERED ADOPTED for the

reasons more fully stated in the magistrate judge's report. Accordingly, this Court

ORDERS that the Petition for Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 [Doc. 1] be

DENIED AS MOOT and **DISMISSED**. This Court further **ORDERS** that this matter be

STRICKEN from the active docket of this Court and **DIRECTS** the Clerk to enter judgment

in favor of the respondent.

As a final matter, upon an independent review of the record, this Court hereby

DENIES a certificate of appealability, finding that Petitioner has failed to make "a

substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2).

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to any counsel of record herein

and to mail a copy to the *pro se* petitioner.

DATED: December 20, 2016.

HN PRESTON BAILEY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2